

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
Pitkin County, Colorado

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	I
 BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
 Government-wide Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets	1
Statement of Activities	2
 Fund Financial Statements	
 Governmental Funds	
Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund	
Balances - Governmental Funds	4
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in	
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of	
Activities	5
General Fund - Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in	
Fund Balances - Budget and Actual	6
Notes to Financial Statements	7
 SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	 23
Debt Service Fund - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and	
Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual	24
Capital Projects Fund - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and	
Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual	25
Schedule of Debt Service Requirements to Maturity	26
Schedule of Assessed Valuation, Mill Levy and Property Taxes	
Collected	28



**WAGNER
BARNES, PC**

Certified Public Accountants & Business Consultants

Independent Auditors' Report

Board of Directors
Base Village Metropolitan District No. 2
Pitkin County, Colorado

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Base Village Metropolitan District No. 2, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

The District has not presented management's discussion and analysis that the Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be part of, the basic financial statements.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of Base Village Metropolitan District No. 2, as of December 31, 2009, and the respective changes in financial position thereof and the budgetary comparison for the general fund for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively Base Village Metropolitan District No. 2's basic financial statements. The accompanying financial information listed as supplemental information in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the District. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements, taken as a whole.

Wagner Barnes, PC

Golden, Colorado
June 21, 2010

I
Wagner Barnes, PC

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS
December 31, 2009

	<u>Governmental Activities</u>
ASSETS	
Investments - Restricted	\$ 6,113,029
Receivable - County Treasurer	25,174
Interest receivable	1,018
Property taxes receivable	1,637,200
Bond issue costs, net	1,811,368
Total assets	<u>9,587,789</u>
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	160,385
Accrued interest payable	45,716
Accrued letter of credit fees	26,293
Due to other governments	40,333
Deferred property tax revenue	1,637,200
Noncurrent liabilities	
Due in more than one year	49,950,000
Total liabilities	<u>51,859,927</u>
NET ASSETS	
Restricted	184,120
Unrestricted	(42,456,258)
Total net assets	<u><u>\$ (42,272,138)</u></u>

These financial statements should be read only in connection with
the accompanying notes to financial statements.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
Year Ended December 31, 2009

<u>Functions/Programs</u>	<u>Program Revenues</u>			<u>Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Assets</u>
	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Charges for Services</u>	<u>Operating Grants and Contributions</u>	<u>Capital Grants and Contributions</u>
Primary government:				
Government activities:				
General government	\$ 32,995	\$ -	-	\$ (32,995)
Intergovernmental	4,109,569	-	-	(4,109,569)
Assignment of debt from District No. 1	2,200,000	-	-	(2,200,000)
Interest and related costs on long-term debt	1,100,908	-	-	(1,095,758)
	<u>\$ 7,443,472</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(7,438,322)</u>

General revenues:

Property taxes	657,713
Specific ownership taxes	21,344
Investment income	47,726
Other income	180
Total general revenues	<u>726,963</u>
Change in net assets	<u>(6,711,359)</u>
Net assets - Beginning	<u>(35,560,779)</u>
Net assets - Ending	<u>\$ (42,272,138)</u>

These financial statements should be read in connection with the accompanying notes to financial statements.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
BALANCE SHEET
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
December 31, 2009

	<u>General</u>	<u>Debt Service</u>	<u>Capital Projects</u>	<u>Total Governmental Funds</u>
ASSETS				
Investments - Restricted	\$ -	\$ 4,340,925	\$ 1,772,104	\$ 6,113,029
Receivable - County Treasurer	25,174	-	-	25,174
Interest receivable	-	802	216	1,018
Property tax receivable	225,800	1,411,400	-	1,637,200
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 250,974</u>	<u>\$ 5,753,127</u>	<u>\$ 1,772,320</u>	<u>\$ 7,776,421</u>
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES				
LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ 160,385	\$ -	\$ 160,385
Due to other governments	25,174	-	15,159	40,333
Deferred property tax revenue	225,800	1,411,400	-	1,637,200
Total liabilities	<u>250,974</u>	<u>1,571,785</u>	<u>15,159</u>	<u>1,837,918</u>
FUND BALANCES				
Reserved for:				
Debt service	-	4,181,342	-	4,181,342
Capital projects	-	-	1,757,161	1,757,161
Total fund balances	<u>-</u>	<u>4,181,342</u>	<u>1,757,161</u>	<u>5,938,503</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES	<u>\$ 250,974</u>	<u>\$ 5,753,127</u>	<u>\$ 1,772,320</u>	

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because:

Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current period expenditures and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.

Bond issue costs, net

1,811,368

Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.

Bonds payable

(47,750,000)

Subordinate note payable

(2,200,000)

Accrued bond interest payable

(45,716)

Accrued letter of credit fees

(26,293)

Net assets of governmental activities

\$ (42,272,138)

These financial statements should be read only in connection with
the accompanying notes to financial statements.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
Year Ended December 31, 2009

	<u>General</u>	<u>Debt Service</u>	<u>Capital Projects</u>	<u>Total Governmental Funds</u>
REVENUES				
Property taxes	\$ 657,713	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 657,713
Specific ownership tax	21,344	-	-	21,344
Capital facility fees	-	5,150	-	5,150
Investment income	9,542	25,459	12,725	47,726
Other income	-	180	-	180
Total revenues	<u>688,599</u>	<u>30,789</u>	<u>12,725</u>	<u>732,113</u>
EXPENDITURES				
Current				
County Treasurer's fees	32,995	-	-	32,995
Intergovernmental	655,604	-	3,453,965	4,109,569
Bond issue cost	-	-	18,000	18,000
Debt service				
Bond interest	-	604,586	-	604,586
Paying agent/trustee fees	-	8,000	-	8,000
Letter of credit fees	-	319,894	-	319,894
Remarketing fees	-	95,500	-	95,500
Total expenditures	<u>688,599</u>	<u>1,027,980</u>	<u>3,471,965</u>	<u>5,188,544</u>
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>-</u>	<u>(997,191)</u>	<u>(3,459,240)</u>	<u>(4,456,431)</u>
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Transfer from other funds	-	19,582	1,561,931	1,581,513
Transfer to other funds	-	(1,561,931)	(19,582)	(1,581,513)
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>(1,542,349)</u>	<u>1,542,349</u>	<u>-</u>
EXCESS OF REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES	<u>-</u>	<u>(2,539,540)</u>	<u>(1,916,891)</u>	<u>(4,456,431)</u>
FUND BALANCES - BEGINNING OF YEAR	<u>-</u>	<u>6,720,882</u>	<u>3,674,052</u>	<u>10,394,934</u>
FUND BALANCES - END OF YEAR	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 4,181,342</u>	<u>\$ 1,757,161</u>	<u>\$ 5,938,503</u>

These financial statements should be read only in connection with
the accompanying notes to financial statements.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES,
EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL
FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES
Year Ended December 31, 2009

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Net change in fund balances - Total governmental funds	\$	(4,456,431)
--	----	-------------

The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., Developer advances, bonds payable) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net assets. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items.

Subordinate note issuance		(2,200,000)
Bond issue costs		18,000

Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.

Amortization of bond issue costs		(93,748)
Accrued interest on bonds - Change in liability		20,820

Changes in net assets of governmental activities	\$	(6,711,359)
--	----	-------------

These financial statements should be read only in connection with
the accompanying notes to financial statements.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
GENERAL FUND
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN
FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
Year Ended December 31, 2009

	<u>Original and Final Budget Amounts</u>	<u>Actual Amounts</u>	<u>Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)</u>
REVENUES			
Property taxes	\$ 662,400	\$ 657,713	\$ (4,687)
Specific ownership tax	33,120	21,344	(11,776)
Investment income	100	9,542	9,442
Total revenues	<u>695,620</u>	<u>688,599</u>	<u>(7,021)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
Current			
County Treasurer's fees	33,120	32,995	125
Intergovernmental	662,500	655,604	6,896
Total expenditures	<u>695,620</u>	<u>688,599</u>	<u>7,021</u>
EXCESS OF REVENUE OVER (UNDER)			
EXPENDITURES	-	-	-
FUND BALANCES - BEGINNING OF YEAR	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
FUND BALANCES - END OF YEAR	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

These financial statements should be read only in connection with
the accompanying notes to financial statements.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 1 - DEFINITION OF REPORTING ENTITY

Base Village Metropolitan District No. 2 (the District) was organized by Court Order in December 2004, to provide financing for the design, acquisition, installation and construction of streets, drainage, traffic and safety controls, park and recreation, transportation, and mosquito and pest control. The District's service area is located entirely within the Town of Snowmass Village (the Town), in Pitkin County, Colorado. Under the Consolidated Service Plan (Amended and Restated Consolidated Service Plan approved October 17, 2006), the District is the Financing District and is related to Base Village Metropolitan District No. 1 (Base Village No. 1), which serves as the Service District. The Service District is responsible for management of the construction of all facilities and improvements and for operation and maintenance of all improvements not conveyed to the Town. The Financing District provides the funding for infrastructure improvements and the tax base needed to support ongoing operations.

The District follows the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) accounting pronouncements which provide guidance for determining which governmental activities, organizations and functions should be included within the financial reporting entity. GASB pronouncements set forth the financial accountability of a governmental organization's elected governing body as the basic criterion for including a possible component governmental organization in a primary government's legal entity. Financial accountability includes, but is not limited to, appointment of a voting majority of the organization's governing body, ability to impose its will on the organization, a potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits or burdens and fiscal dependency.

The District has no employees and all operations and administrative functions are contracted.

The District is not financially accountable for any other organization, nor is the District a component unit of any other primary governmental entity.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The more significant accounting policies of the District are described as follows:

Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements include the statement of net assets and the statement of activities. These financial statements include all of the activities of the District. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities are normally supported by taxes.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

The statement of net assets reports all financial and capital resources of the District. The difference between the assets and liabilities of the District is reported as net assets.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment, and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the District considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the District. The District determined that Developer advances are not considered as revenue susceptible to accrual. Expenditures, other than interest on long-term obligations are recorded when the liability is incurred or the long-term obligation paid.

The District reports the following major governmental funds:

The General Fund is the District's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The Debt Service Fund accounts for the resources accumulated and payments made for principal and interest on long-term general obligation debt of the governmental funds.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

The Capital Projects Fund is used to account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition and construction of capital equipment and facilities.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the government's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Budgets

In accordance with the State Budget Law of Colorado, the District's Board of Directors holds public hearings in the fall of each year to approve the budget and appropriate the funds for the ensuing year. The appropriation is at the total fund expenditures and other financing use level and lapses at year end. The District's Board of Directors can modify the budget by line item within the total appropriation without notification. The appropriation can only be modified upon completion of notification and publication requirements. The budget includes each fund on its basis of accounting unless otherwise indicated.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, supplementary appropriations approved by the District modified the appropriation from \$2,170,000 to \$3,700,000 in the Capital Projects Fund.

Pooled Cash and Investments

The District follows the practice of pooling cash and investments of all funds to maximize investment earnings. Except when required by trust or other agreements, all cash is deposited to and disbursed from a single bank account. Cash in excess of immediate operating requirements is pooled for deposit and investment flexibility. Investment earnings are allocated periodically to the participating funds based upon each fund's average equity balance in the total cash.

Investments are carried at fair value.

Property Taxes

Property taxes are levied by the District's Board of Directors. The levy is based on assessed valuations determined by the County Assessor generally as of January 1 of each year. The levy is normally set by December 15 by certification to the County Commissioners to put the tax lien on the individual properties as of January 1 of the following year. The County Treasurer collects the determined taxes during the ensuing calendar year. The taxes are payable by April or if in equal installments, at the taxpayer's election, in February and June. Delinquent taxpayers are notified in August and generally sales of the tax liens on delinquent properties are held in November or December. The County Treasurer remits the taxes collected monthly to the District.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Property taxes, net of estimated uncollectible taxes, are recorded initially as deferred revenue in the year they are levied and measurable. The deferred property tax revenues are recorded as revenue in the year they are available or collected.

Capital Facility Fee

A fee of \$5,150 will be collected upon the sale of each residential living unit and used to pay debt service payments on the bonds.

Expenditures

Administrative Expenditures

Base Village No. 1 records all operational and administrative expenditures for the Districts.

Base Village No. 1 - IGA Reimbursement

Pursuant to an intergovernmental agreement between the District and Base Village No. 1, revenues collected by the District, including net property taxes and specific ownership in the General Fund will be remitted to Base Village No. 1 (see Note 7). Net property taxes and specific ownership taxes remitted to Base Village No. 1 will be used to pay operations and maintenance costs.

Amortization

Bond Issue Costs

In the government-wide financial statements, bond issuance costs are reported as deferred charges and amortized over the term of the related debt using the effective interest method.

Fund Equity

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report reservations of fund balance for amounts that are legally segregated or are not subject to future appropriation. Designations of unreserved fund balances indicate management's intention for future utilization of such funds and are subject to change by management.

The District considers all unreserved fund balances to be "reserves" for future operations as defined within Article X, Section 20 of the Constitution of the State of Colorado.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 3 - INVESTMENTS

Investments as of December 31, 2009 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Statement of net assets:

Investments - Restricted	<u>\$ 6,113,029</u>
--------------------------	---------------------

Deposits with Financial Institutions

The Colorado Public Deposit Protection Act (PDPA) requires that all units of local government deposit cash in eligible public depositories. Eligibility is determined by state regulators. Amounts on deposit in excess of federal insurance levels must be collateralized. The eligible collateral is determined by the PDPA. PDPA allows the institution to create a single collateral pool for all public funds. The pool for all the uninsured public deposits as a group is to be maintained by another institution or held in trust. The market value of the collateral must be 102% of the aggregate uninsured deposits.

The State Commissioners for banks and financial services are required by statute to monitor the naming of eligible depositories and reporting of the uninsured deposits and assets maintained in the collateral pools.

At December 31, 2009, the District's did not have any deposits.

Investments

The District has not adopted a formal investment policy; however, the District follows state statutes regarding investments.

The District generally limits its concentration of investments to those noted with an asterisk (*) below, which are believed to have minimal credit risk, minimal interest rate risk and no foreign currency risk. Additionally, the District is not subject to concentration risk disclosure requirements or subject to investment custodial credit risk for investments that are in the possession of another party.

Colorado revised statutes limit investment maturities to five years or less unless formally approved by the Board of Directors. Such actions are generally associated with a debt service reserve or sinking fund requirements.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 3 - INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

Colorado statutes specify investment instruments meeting defined rating and risk criteria in which local governments may invest which include:

- . Obligations of the United States, certain U.S. government agency securities and securities of the World Bank
- . General obligation and revenue bonds of U.S. local government entities
- . Certain certificates of participation
- . Certain securities lending agreements
- . Bankers' acceptances of certain banks
- . Commercial paper
- . Written repurchase agreements and certain reverse repurchase agreements collateralized by certain authorized securities
- . Certain money market funds
- . Guaranteed investment contracts
- * Local government investment pools

As of December 31, 2009, the District had the following investments:

Investment	Maturity	Fair Value
Colorado Surplus Asset Fund Trust (CSAFE)	Weighted average Under 60 days	<u>\$ 6,113,029</u>

CSAFE

The District invested in the Colorado Surplus Asset Fund Trust (CSAFE), which is an investment vehicle established by state statute for local government entities to pool surplus assets. The State Securities Commissioner administers and enforces all State statutes governing the Trust. The trust is similar to a money market fund, with each share valued at \$1.00. CSAFE may invest in U.S. Treasury securities, repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. Treasury securities, certain money market funds and highest rated commercial paper. A designated custodial bank serves as custodian for CSAFE's portfolio pursuant to a custodian agreement. The custodian acts as safekeeping agent for CSAFE's investment portfolio and provides services as the depository in connection with direct investments and withdrawals. The custodian's internal records segregate investments owned by CSAFE. CSAFE is rated AAAM by Standard & Poor's.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

The following is an analysis of the changes in the District's long-term obligations for the year ended December 31, 2009.

	Balance at December 31, 2008	Additions	Reductions	Balance at December 31, 2009	Due Within One Year
Governmental Activities:					
General obligation bond payable:					
2008A Bonds	\$ 15,200,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,200,000	\$ -
2008B Bonds	32,550,000	-	-	32,550,000	-
Developer subordinate note:					
2008D Notes	-	2,200,000	-	2,200,000	-
	<u>\$ 47,750,000</u>	<u>\$ 2,200,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 49,950,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The details of the District's long-term obligations are as follows:

General Obligation Bonds

On June 19, 2008, the District issued \$47,750,000 in General Obligation Bonds dated June 19, 2008 for streets, park and recreation, fire protection and public transportation.

\$47,750,000 General Obligation Variable Rate Bonds (Limited Tax Convertible to Unlimited Tax), Series 2008A and B, dated June 19, 2008. The bonds are term bonds due on December 1, 2038, with mandatory redemption principal payments starting at \$750,000 on December 1, 2012. The Series 2008A and B Bonds are variable rate bonds in the weekly mode that adjust based on the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) Municipal Swap Index.

The bonds are subject to redemption prior to maturity at the option of the District on the first calendar day of any month at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, if any, without premium. The bonds are currently remarketed in the weekly mode. Interest is payable on the first business day of each calendar month. The District may effect a change in mode to a daily, monthly, semi-annual, annual, or flexed pricing mode by giving proper notice in accordance with the provisions in the Indenture.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

The bonds are secured and payable from the Pledged Revenue consisting of monies derived by the District from the following sources, net of any collection costs: (1) property tax revenues from the "Required Mill Levy", (2) specific ownership tax revenues, (3) capital fees, (4) interest rate exchange agreement payments; (5) amounts received from the Capital Pledge Agreement; (6) amounts received from the interest rate cap agreement and (7) any other legally available moneys which the District determines, in its absolute discretion, to credit to the revenue fund. The term "Required Mill Levy" includes both a debt service mill levy and an operations mill levy component. For collections during fiscal year 2008 (derived from the levy made in December 2007), the debt service mill levy was -0-, and the operations mill levy was 29.5 mills. These mill levies remained at this level for levies made in December 2008 that are collected during 2009. Beginning with the December 2009 levies to be collected in 2010, the Required Mill Levy is 37.5 mills for debt services and 6.0 mills for operations. The Required Mill Levy is subject to adjustment for changes in the ratio of actual value to assessed value of property within the District. The Consolidated Service Plan establishes certain limitations on the maximum mill levy that the District may impose for debt service, and the terms of the bonds are subject to these limitations.

Developer Subordinate Note

On December 1, 2009, the District issued a Developer Subordinate Note (the Series 2008D Note) in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,200,000.

This Note is a special, limited tax obligation of the District, and shall be payable from Pledged Revenue, the proceeds of refunding obligations, or as otherwise provided in the Resolution of the Board of Directors authorizing the issuance and delivery of this Note and the IGA (see Note 7).

This Note is not interest bearing and is subject to redemption on any date. Upon surrender of any Note redeemed in part only, the District shall deliver to the Registered Owner a new Note representing the unredeemed principal amount of the Note surrendered.

Letter of Credit

Concurrently with the issuance of the 2008A bonds and 2008B bonds, the District established an irrevocable direct pay Letter of Credit with U.S. Bank National Association in the stated amounts of \$15,341,590 and \$32,853,206, respectively. The District is responsible for paying a semiannual facility fee for the letter of credit as defined by the terms in the Indenture of Trust dated July 1, 2008 and the Letter of Credit Reimbursement Agreement dated July 1, 2008. The Letter of Credit for the 2008A bonds expires June 30, 2011 and expires on February 18, 2011 for the 2008B bonds.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

Interest Rate Cap

On July 1, 2008, the District entered into an Interest Rate Cap Agreement (Cap) in order to hedge and protect against rising interest rates on its Series 2008B Limited Tax Variable Rate Bonds. The terms of the Cap include an interest rate cap of 5.5%. The Cap will terminate on February 18, 2011.

Using the interest rates at December 31, 2009 of 2.935% and .320% for the Series 2008A and Series 2008B bonds, respectively, the estimated annual requirements to amortize the remaining amounts are as follows:

	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Total</u>
2010	\$ -	\$ 550,280	\$ 415,394	\$ 965,674
2011	-	550,280	148,984	699,264
2012	750,000	550,280	-	1,300,280
2013	795,000	541,604	-	1,336,604
2014	840,000	532,392	-	1,372,392
2015-2019	5,035,000	2,503,875	-	7,538,875
2020-2024	6,730,000	2,176,711	-	8,906,711
2025-2029	9,015,000	1,739,641	-	10,754,641
2030-2034	12,060,000	1,154,988	-	13,214,988
2035-2038	12,525,000	371,843	-	12,896,843
	<u>\$ 47,750,000</u>	<u>\$ 10,671,894</u>	<u>\$ 564,378</u>	<u>\$ 58,986,272</u>

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

Using estimated interest rates of 4% for the Series 2008A and Series 2008B bonds, the estimated annual requirements to amortize the remaining amounts are as follows:

	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Total</u>
2010	\$ -	\$ 1,748,120	\$ 415,394	\$ 2,163,514
2011	-	1,829,060	148,984	1,978,044
2012	750,000	1,910,000	-	2,660,000
2013	795,000	1,880,000	-	2,675,000
2014	840,000	1,848,200	-	2,688,200
2015-2019	5,035,000	8,693,400	-	13,728,400
2020-2024	6,730,000	7,558,800	-	14,288,800
2025-2029	9,015,000	6,040,800	-	15,055,800
2030-2034	12,060,000	4,008,400	-	16,068,400
2035-2038	12,525,000	1,289,400	-	13,814,400
	<u>\$ 47,750,000</u>	<u>\$ 36,806,180</u>	<u>\$ 564,378</u>	<u>\$ 85,120,558</u>

Swap Agreements

On July 1, 2008, the District entered into an interest rate swap agreement in order to lock in interest rates and protect against rising interest rates. The interest rate swap is in connection with the Series 2008A General Obligation Bonds. The following is a summary of the interest rate swap transaction:

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Interest Rate Swap Payments</u>	<u>Total</u>
2010	\$ -	\$ 48,640	\$ 397,480	\$ 446,120
2011	-	247,380	198,740	446,120
2012	240,000	608,000	-	848,000
2013	255,000	598,400	-	853,400
2014	270,000	588,200	-	858,200
2015-2019	1,605,000	2,766,200	-	4,371,200
2020-2024	2,140,000	2,404,600	-	4,544,600
2025-2029	2,865,000	1,921,800	-	4,786,800
2030-2034	3,835,000	1,276,200	-	5,111,200
2035-2038	3,990,000	411,000	-	4,401,000
	<u>\$ 15,200,000</u>	<u>\$ 10,870,420</u>	<u>\$ 596,220</u>	<u>\$ 26,666,640</u>

Variable rate bonds and swap payments are calculated using rates in effect on December 31, 2009.

<u>Counterparty</u>	<u>Trade Date</u>	<u>Effective Date</u>	<u>Notional Amount</u>	<u>Termination Date</u>	<u>Associated Debt Series</u>	<u>Payable Swap Rate</u>	<u>Variable Receivable Swap Rate</u>	<u>Fair Value at December 31, 2009</u>
U.S. Bank National Association	7/1/2008	7/1/2008	\$ 15,200,000	6/18/2011	2008A	0.3200%	SIFMA	\$ (488,779)

The year end fair values were calculated using the SIFMA swap curves as of December 31, 2009. Fair values represent the difference between the present value of the fixed payments and the present value of the floating payments, at forward floating rates as of December 31, 2009.

When the present value of payments to be made by the District exceeds the present value of payments to be received, the swap has a negative value to the District. When the present value of payments to be received by the District exceeds that of payments to be made, the swap has a positive value to the District.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

The following risks are generally associated with the swap agreement:

Credit Risk

The swap agreement relies upon the performance of the swap counterparty. The District is exposed to the risk of this counterparty being unable to fulfill its financial obligation to the District. The District measures the extent of this risk based upon the credit ratings of the counterparty and the fair value of the swap agreement. As of December 31, 2009, there was no risk of loss as the fair value of the swap agreement was negative. The ratings of the counterparty as of December 31, 2009 are as follows:

Counterparty	Ratings of Counterparty		
	S&P	Moody's	Fitch
U.S. Bank National Association	AA-	Aa1	AA

Termination Risk

Either party to the swap agreement may terminate the swap if the other party fails to perform under the terms of the agreement. Further, certain credit or tax events can lead to a termination event under the swap agreement. If the swap has a negative fair value at the time of the termination, the District could be liable to the counterparty for a payment equal to the swap's fair value. If the swap agreement is terminated the associated variable rate bond would no longer be hedged with a synthetic fixed interest rate. The District is not aware of any existing event that would lead to a termination event with respect to the swap agreement.

Authorized Debt

On November 1, 2005, the District's electors authorized the issuance of indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$107,500,000. At December 31, 2009, the District had authorized but unissued indebtedness in the following amounts allocated for the following purposes:

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

	Authorized November 6, 2007 Election	Authorization Used Series 2008 A&B	Authorization Used Series 2008D	Remaining at December 31, 2009
Streets	\$ 41,300,000	\$ 21,248,750	\$ -	\$ 20,051,250
Public transportation	39,300,000	21,965,000	2,200,000	15,135,000
Fire protection	2,000,000	1,193,750	-	806,250
Traffic and safety	1,800,000	-	-	1,800,000
Parks and recreation	23,000,000	3,342,500	-	19,657,500
Mosquito control	100,000	-	-	100,000
	<u>\$ 107,500,000</u>	<u>\$ 47,750,000</u>	<u>\$ 2,200,000</u>	<u>\$ 57,550,000</u>

Pursuant to the Amended and Restated Consolidated Service Plan, the Districts are permitted to issue bond indebtedness of up to \$48,700,000 in par amount, excluding underwriter discount, credit enhancement costs, other costs of issuance, and payments made by guarantors under any pledge agreement or for direct bond payments. As of December 31, 2009, Base Village No. 2 has issued \$47,750,000 (inclusive of \$4,179,943 of costs excludable as described above) of General Obligation Bonds and \$2,200,000 in the form of a Developer Subordinate Note.

NOTE 5 - NET ASSETS

The District has net assets consisting of two components - restricted and unrestricted.

Restricted assets include net assets that are restricted for use either externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws and regulations of other governments or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The District had restricted net assets of \$184,120 as of December 31, 2009.

The District's unrestricted net assets as of December 31, 2009 are \$(42,456,258). This deficit amount was a result of the District being responsible for the repayment of bonds issued for public improvements, which are being constructed, funded and/or reimbursed by Base Village No. 1 and will be held by Base Village No. 1 or conveyed to other governmental entities.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 6 - RELATED PARTIES

Base Village Owner LLC is the Developer and four of the members of the Board of Directors are employees, owners, or otherwise associated with the Developer, and may have conflicts of interest in dealing with the District.

NOTE 7 - DISTRICT AGREEMENTS

Intergovernmental Agreement with Base Village No. 1

The District entered into an intergovernmental agreement with Base Village No. 1 on July 25, 2007 to coordinate the construction, operation and maintenance and financing of facilities that are intended to benefit both the District and Base Village No. 1. Serving as the Service District, Base Village No. 1 agrees to construct, manage the financing, operate and maintain the public facilities that Base Village No. 1 will own. The District has pledged certain revenues to repay the bond issuance of the District and to pay for all operations and maintenance costs. During 2009, the District remitted \$655,604 of net property taxes and specific ownership taxes for operations and \$3,453,965 to pay for and/or reimburse the costs of public infrastructure contracted by Base Village No. 1.

Intergovernmental Agreement with Snowmass Village General Improvement District No. 1

On September 30, 2006, the District and Base Village No. 1 (collectively, the Districts) entered into an agreement with Snowmass Village General Improvement District No. 1 (the GID) in order to establish the total aggregate mill levies that are to be imposed by the Districts and the GID. The mill levies, which are to pay for their respective indebtedness and operating costs, will not exceed 49.500 mills annually. The District is to levy 29.500 mills in 2006, 2007, and 2008 for operations and maintenance and then no more than 6.000 mills for operation and maintenance in any year thereafter. In 2009 for collection year 2010, the District levied a debt service mill levy of 37.500 mills, and will continue to levy until any subordinate debt is paid in full. The GID will levy 20.000 mills in 2006, 2007, and 2008 for operations and maintenance expenses and then not more than 10.000 mills thereafter. However, the GID is further limited to 6.000 mills for operations and maintenance until any subordinate debt is paid off.

Capital Pledge Agreement between the District, Base Village No. 1 and U.S. Bank

On July 1, 2008, the District entered into an agreement (the Capital Pledge Agreement) with Base Village No. 1 and U.S. Bank in connection with the issuance of the District's Series 2008A and Series 2008B bonds (see Note 4). Subject to certain conditions, including the levy by the District of the Required Mill Levy associated with the bonds, the Capital Pledge Agreement requires the levy by District No. 1 of up to 43.0 mills annually to support debt service on the bonds.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 8 - RISK MANAGEMENT

Except as provided in the Colorado Governmental Immunity Act, §24-10-101, et seq., C.R.S., the District may be exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, thefts of, damage to, or destruction of assets; errors or omissions; injuries to employees, or acts of God.

The District is a member of the Colorado Special Districts Property and Liability Pool (Pool) as of December 31, 2009. The Pool is an organization created by intergovernmental agreement to provide property, liability, public officials liability, boiler and machinery and workers compensation coverage to its members. Settled claims have not exceeded this coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

The District pays annual premiums to the Pool for liability, property and public officials liability coverage. In the event aggregated losses incurred by the Pool exceed amounts recoverable from reinsurance contracts and funds accumulated by the Pool, the Pool may require additional contributions from the Pool members. Any excess funds which the Pool determines are not needed for purposes of the Pool may be returned to the members pursuant to a distribution formula.

NOTE 9 - TAX, SPENDING AND DEBT LIMITATIONS

Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado Constitution, referred to as the Taxpayer's Bill of Rights (TABOR), contains tax, spending, revenue and debt limitations which apply to the State of Colorado and all local governments.

Spending and revenue limits are determined based on the prior year's Fiscal Year Spending adjusted for allowable increases based upon inflation and local growth. Fiscal Year Spending is generally defined as expenditures plus reserve increases with certain exceptions. Revenue in excess of the Fiscal Year Spending limit must be refunded unless the voters approve retention of such revenue.

TABOR requires local governments to establish Emergency Reserves. These reserves must be at least 3% of Fiscal Year Spending (excluding bonded debt service). Local governments are not allowed to use the emergency reserves to compensate for economic conditions, revenue shortfalls, or salary or benefit increases. The District transfers its net operating revenue to Base Village No. 1 pursuant to the Master IGA. Therefore, the Emergency Reserves related to the District's revenues are captured in Base Village No. 1.

On November 4, 2004, a majority of the District's electors authorized the District to collect and spend or retain in a reserve, all currently levied taxes and fees of the District without regard to any limitations under TABOR.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2009

NOTE 9 - TAX, SPENDING AND DEBT LIMITATIONS (CONTINUED)

The District's management believes it is in compliance with the provisions of TABOR. However, TABOR is complex and subject to interpretation. Many of the provisions, including the interpretation of how to calculate Fiscal Year Spending limits, will require judicial interpretation.

This information is an integral part of the accompanying financial statements.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
DEBT SERVICE FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN
FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
Year Ended December 31, 2009

	<u>Original and Final Budget Amounts</u>	<u>Actual Amounts</u>	<u>Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)</u>
REVENUES			
Capital facility fees	\$ 23,585	\$ 5,150	\$ (18,435)
Investment income	200,000	25,459	(174,541)
Other income	-	180	180
Total revenues	<u>223,585</u>	<u>30,789</u>	<u>(192,796)</u>
EXPENDITURES			
Current			
Bond interest	1,423,000	604,586	818,414
Paying agent/trustee fees	14,500	8,000	6,500
Letter of credit fees	1,053,000	319,894	733,106
Remarketing fees	95,500	95,500	-
Contingency	9,000	-	9,000
Total expenditures	<u>2,595,000</u>	<u>1,027,980</u>	<u>1,567,020</u>
EXCESS OF REVENUE OVER (UNDER)			
EXPENDITURES	<u>(2,371,415)</u>	<u>(997,191)</u>	<u>1,374,224</u>
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)			
Transfer from other funds	-	19,582	19,582
Transfer to other funds	-	(1,561,931)	(1,561,931)
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>(1,542,349)</u>	<u>(1,542,349)</u>
EXCESS OF REVENUE AND OTHER			
FINANCING SOURCES OVER			
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES	(2,371,415)	(2,539,540)	(168,125)
FUND BALANCES - BEGINNING OF YEAR	<u>6,752,284</u>	<u>6,720,882</u>	<u>(31,402)</u>
FUND BALANCES - END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 4,380,869</u>	<u>\$ 4,181,342</u>	<u>\$ (199,527)</u>

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN
FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL
Year Ended December 31, 2009

	<u>Original Budget Amounts</u>	<u>Final Budget Amounts</u>	<u>Actual Amounts</u>	<u>Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)</u>
REVENUES				
Investment income	\$ 10,000	\$ 25,948	\$ 12,725	\$ (13,223)
Total revenues	<u>10,000</u>	<u>25,948</u>	<u>12,725</u>	<u>(13,223)</u>
EXPENDITURES				
Current				
Intergovernmental	2,170,000	3,700,000	3,453,965	246,035
Bond issue cost	-	-	18,000	(18,000)
Total expenditures	<u>2,170,000</u>	<u>3,700,000</u>	<u>3,471,965</u>	<u>228,035</u>
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	<u>(2,160,000)</u>	<u>(3,674,052)</u>	<u>(3,459,240)</u>	<u>214,812</u>
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Transfer from other funds	-	-	1,561,931	1,561,931
Transfer to other funds	-	-	(19,582)	(19,582)
Total other financing sources (uses)	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1,542,349</u>	<u>1,542,349</u>
EXCESS OF REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES	<u>(2,160,000)</u>	<u>(3,674,052)</u>	<u>(1,916,891)</u>	<u>1,757,161</u>
FUND BALANCES - BEGINNING OF YEAR	<u>2,160,000</u>	<u>3,674,052</u>	<u>3,674,052</u>	<u>-</u>
FUND BALANCES - END OF YEAR	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,757,161</u>	<u>\$ 1,757,161</u>

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
SCHEDULE OF DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS TO MATURITY
December 31, 2009

\$15,200,000 Limited Tax General Obligation Variable Rate Bonds, Series 2008A Dated June 19, 2008 SWAP Fixed Rate 2.935% through June 1, 2011 and estimated 2.935% thereafter Principal Payable December 1			
<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Fees</u>
2010	\$ -	\$ 446,120	\$ 217,056
2011	-	527,060	102,212
2012	240,000	608,000	-
2013	255,000	598,400	-
2014	270,000	588,200	-
2015	285,000	577,400	-
2016	300,000	566,000	-
2017	320,000	554,000	-
2018	340,000	541,200	-
2019	360,000	527,600	-
2020	380,000	513,200	-
2021	405,000	498,000	-
2022	425,000	481,800	-
2023	450,000	464,800	-
2024	480,000	446,800	-
2025	510,000	427,600	-
2026	540,000	407,200	-
2027	570,000	385,600	-
2028	605,000	362,800	-
2029	640,000	338,600	-
2030	680,000	313,000	-
2031	720,000	285,800	-
2032	765,000	257,000	-
2033	810,000	226,400	-
2034	860,000	194,000	-
2035	910,000	159,600	-
2036	965,000	123,200	-
2037	1,025,000	84,600	-
2038	1,090,000	43,600	-
	<u>\$ 15,200,000</u>	<u>\$ 11,547,580</u>	<u>\$ 319,268</u>

The bonds are subject to redemption prior to maturity, at the option of the District, on the first calendar day of any month at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, if any, without premium.

BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
SCHEDULE OF DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS TO MATURITY
December 31, 2009

\$32,550,000 Limited Tax Variable Rate Bonds, Series 2008B Dated July 1, 2008 Interest Rate Capped at 5.5% through February 15, 2011, and estimated at 4% Principal Payable December 1				
<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Total Debt Service</u>
2010	\$ -	\$ 1,302,000	\$ 198,338	\$ 2,163,514
2011	-	1,302,000	46,772	1,978,044
2012	510,000	1,302,000	-	2,660,000
2013	540,000	1,281,600	-	2,675,000
2014	570,000	1,260,000	-	2,688,200
2015	610,000	1,237,200	-	2,709,600
2016	645,000	1,212,800	-	2,723,800
2017	685,000	1,187,000	-	2,746,000
2018	725,000	1,159,600	-	2,765,800
2019	765,000	1,130,600	-	2,783,200
2020	815,000	1,100,000	-	2,808,200
2021	860,000	1,067,400	-	2,830,400
2022	915,000	1,033,000	-	2,854,800
2023	975,000	996,400	-	2,886,200
2024	1,025,000	957,400	-	2,909,200
2025	1,090,000	916,400	-	2,944,000
2026	1,155,000	872,800	-	2,975,000
2027	1,225,000	826,600	-	3,007,200
2028	1,300,000	777,600	-	3,045,400
2029	1,380,000	725,600	-	3,084,200
2030	1,460,000	670,400	-	3,123,400
2031	1,545,000	612,000	-	3,162,800
2032	1,640,000	550,200	-	3,212,200
2033	1,740,000	484,600	-	3,261,000
2034	1,840,000	415,000	-	3,309,000
2035	1,950,000	341,400	-	3,361,000
2036	2,070,000	263,400	-	3,421,600
2037	2,190,000	180,600	-	3,480,200
2038	2,325,000	93,000	-	3,551,600
	<u>\$ 32,550,000</u>	<u>\$ 25,258,600</u>	<u>\$ 245,110</u>	<u>\$ 85,120,558</u>

The bonds are currently remarketed in the weekly mode. Interest is payable on the first business day of each calendar month. the District may effect a change in mode to a daily, monthly, semi-annual, annual or flexed pricing mode by giving proper notice in accordance with the provisions in the Indenture.

**BASE VILLAGE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2
SCHEDULE OF ASSESSED VALUATION,
MILL LEVY AND PROPERTY TAXES COLLECTED
December 31, 2009**

Year Ended December 31,	Prior Year Assessed Valuation for Current Year Property Tax Levy	Mills Levied		Total Property Taxes		Percentage Collected to Levied
		Operations	Debt Service	Levied	Collected	
2006	\$ 13,785,250	8.270	0.000	\$ 114,004	\$ 114,004	100.0%
2007	\$ 14,066,870	29.500	0.000	\$ 414,973	\$ 414,973	100.0%
2008	\$ 16,794,930	29.500	0.000	\$ 495,450	\$ 495,200	99.95%
2009	\$ 22,457,460	29.500	0.000	\$ 662,400	\$ 657,713	99.29%
Estimated for the year ending December 31, 2010	\$ 37,637,670	6.000	37.500	\$1,637,200		

Property taxes collected in any one year include collection of delinquent property taxes levied in prior years. Information received from the County Treasurer does not permit identification of specific year of levy if delinquent tax are collected.